9

REMARKS

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated June 7, 2005.

The Examiner has objected to claim 11 because of informalities. The Examiner has objected to claim 1 because of informalities. Further, the Examiner has rejected claims 11-18 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 11-18 have been canceled and replaced with new claims 19-26 in order to overcome the objection and rejection.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Leang Chi 2. Signature

Leong C. Lei

Registration No. 50402

September 5, 2005